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## Steric effects in heteroboranes

Part 8 *. Sterically-induced Exo-skeletal isomerisation of di-copper carbametallaboranes: syntheses and crystal structures of $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PCu}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ and $\left\{(o-\text { tol })_{3} \mathrm{PCu}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right.$
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#### Abstract

In $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PCu}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ (Ia) and $\left\{(\text { o-tol })_{3} \mathrm{PCu}\right\}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ (Ic) one copper atom occupies a polyhedral vertex position but is slipped away from the cage carbon atoms (the latter the more so) to relieve phosphine $\cdots C_{\text {cage }}$-phenyl crowding. The second copper atom is exo-facially bonded to the $\mathrm{B}(8) \mathrm{B}(9) \mathrm{B}(12)$ triangular face, in contrast to the situation in $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PCu}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11}$, where the exo-metal caps the $\mathrm{Cu}(3) \mathrm{B}(4) \mathrm{B}(8)$ triangle. Compounds Ia, Ic and the related species $\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{3} \mathrm{PCu}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ (Ib) display fluxionality in solution at room temperature that renders equivalent the two phosphorus nuclei. It is speculated that this fluxional process involves a unique bis(exo-metal) nido carbaboranc.
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We have previously shown that deliberately producing overcrowding in heteroboranes by the use of sterically bulky $\mathrm{C}_{\text {cage }}$-phenyl̃ substituents can have very large effects on both molecular structure and reactivity. For example, the molecule $1,2-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}-3-\mathrm{Cp}^{*}-3,1,2-\mathrm{RhC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ [2] is distorted into a novel pseudocloso geometry with an open $\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{Rh}(3) \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{B}(6)$ face, and in $7,8-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}-10-$ $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PHg}\right)-7,8$-nido- $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ [3] and 1,2- $\mathrm{Ph}_{2}-3$-(cod)-$3,1,2-\mathrm{PdC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ [4] there are substantially enhanced metal slip distortions [5] relative to those in their non- $\mathrm{C}_{\text {cage }}$-phenyl analogues. (In addition, the cod-Pd

[^0]bonding in the last compound is severly distorted). Moreover, 1-Ph-3,3- $\left(\mathrm{PhMe}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2}$-3,1,2- $\mathrm{PtC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ shows remarkably facile polyhedral isomerisation that is steri-cally-induced, and the bis $\mathrm{C}_{\text {cage }}$-phenyl analogue can only be isolated as a $\mathrm{C}_{\text {cage }}$-separated isomer [6]. We report here a further possible consequence of overcrowding in heteroboranes, viz. sterically-induced exoskeletal isomerisation.

Reaction of $\mathrm{Tl}_{2}\left[7,8-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}-7,8\right.$-nido- $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ ] [2] with $0.5\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PCuBr}\right]_{4}[7],\left[\mathrm{Cy}_{3} \mathrm{PCuCl}\right]_{2}[8]$ or $\left[(o-\mathrm{tol})_{3} \mathrm{PCuBr}\right]_{2}$ [9] gave the complexes $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{PCu}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$, $\mathbf{I}$ (Ia, $\mathbf{R}=\mathrm{Ph} ; \mathbf{I b}, \mathbf{R}=\mathbf{C y}, \mathbf{I c}, \mathbf{R}=o$-tol), as air-stable white solids in good yield ${ }^{\ddagger}$. These three new compounds were characterised by microanalysis and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ $\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{\text {selective }}\right\},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectroscopy and, in the cases of Ia and Ic, by single-crystal diffraction studies.

The ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra of compounds I measured at room temperature are consistent with at least timeaveraged $C_{\text {S }}$ molecular symmetry. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of all three compounds at 298 K show only a single resonance, but whilst this persists for Ia down to 215 K , at ca. 235 K (compound Ib) and ca. 240 K (compound Ic) this single peak gives way to two resonances of approximately equal integral. The process is fully reversible on warming, and clearly shows that in solution at room temperature, a fluxional process renders the two phosphine ligands equivalent.

[^1]Compound Ia was obtained as poor quality, single crystals by diffusion of $n$-hexane into a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution at ca. 250 K . In contrast, Ic was obtained as large, well-formed blocks by the slow evaporation of a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution. Since $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ and ( $o$-tol) ${ }_{3} \mathrm{P}$ have substantially different cone angles [10] la and Ic could have significantly different molecular structures, and so both were subjected to crystallographic study ${ }^{\ddagger \ddagger}$.

Figure 1 shows a molecule of compound Ia, and lists important molecular parameters. The structure of Ic is similar (Fig. 2) except that the bonding of the $\left\{\mathrm{Cu}(3) \mathrm{P}(o-\text {-tol })_{3}\right\}$ unit to the cage is more distorted (see below). The structure of Ic was much more precisely determined than that of Ia because of the better quality of the crystals.

In compounds I in the solid state one copper atom, $\mathrm{Cu}(3)$, completes a very approximately icosahedral $3,1,2-\mathrm{CuC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9}$ polyhedron, with the other, $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$, exofacially bonded to the $\mathrm{B}(8) \mathrm{B}(9) \mathrm{B}(12)$ triangular face via three $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cu} 3 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e}$ bonds. In Ia $\mathrm{Cu}(3)$ is slipped [5]

[^2]

Fig. 1. Molecular structure of Ia with key atoms identified. Important molecular parameters ( $\AA$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ ): $\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{P}(3) 2.183(10) ; \mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ $2.56(3) ; \mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2) 2.67(3) ; \mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{B}(8) 2.07(4) ; \mathrm{Cu}(3) \cdots \mathrm{H}(8) 2.35 ;$ $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 1.65(4) ; \mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2) 2.128(10) ; \mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{B}(8) 2.17(4) ; \mathrm{Cu}(2)-$ $\mathrm{H}(8) 2.07 ; \mathrm{Cu}(2) \cdots \mathrm{Cu}(3) 4.159(6) . \theta_{\text {ring of }} \mathrm{C}(11)[15] 23.5^{\circ}$; $\theta_{\text {ring }}$ of $\mathrm{C}(12)$ $23.7^{\circ}$.
across the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}$ carbaborane ligand face by $0.624 \AA$ towards $\mathrm{B}(8)$ to afford $\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{C}_{\text {cage }}$ distances of 2.56 (3) and $2.68(3) \AA$, this slip being necessary to avoid over-


Fig. 2. Molecular structure of lc, key atoms identified. Important molecular parameters ( $\AA$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ ): $\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ 2.2198(21); $\mathrm{Cu}(3) \cdots \mathrm{C}(1) 2.983(6) ; \mathrm{Cu}(3) \cdots \mathrm{C}(2) 2.943(6) ; \mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{B}(8) 2.034(8) ;$ $\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{H}(8) 2.07 ; \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 1.609(9) ; \mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2) 2.1753(22) ; \mathrm{Cu}(2)-$ $\mathrm{B}(8) 2.207(8) ; \mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{H}(8) 2.08 ; \mathrm{Cu}(2) \cdots \mathrm{Cu}(3) 4.030(1) . \theta_{\text {ring of }} \mathrm{C}_{(101)}$ $12.2^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {ring of }}$ C(201) $49.8^{\circ}$.
crowding between the $\mathrm{P}(3)$ phosphine and $\mathrm{C}_{\text {cage }}$-phenyl groups. In Ic (in which the phosphine ligands are larger) the slip of $\mathrm{Cu}(3)$ is much more severe, $1.004 \AA$ [11], resulting in substantially longer $\mathrm{Cu}(3)-\mathrm{C}_{\text {cage }}$ connectivities [2.983(6) and 2.943(6) $\AA$ ] and necessitating interaction between $\mathrm{Cu}(3)$ and the $\mathrm{B}(8) \mathrm{H}(8)$ unit, not merely $\mathbf{B}(8)$. Unfortunately, even the diffraction data from Ic were not of sufficient quality to merit positional refinement of the cage H atoms, but in its idealised location, $1.16 \AA$ from $B(8)$ and radial to the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9}$ cluster, $\mathrm{H}(8)$ is $2.08 \AA$ from $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ and $2.07 \AA$ from $\mathrm{Cu}(3)$.

The structures of compounds I may be compared with that of $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PCu}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11}$, II, previously studied by Hawthorne et al. [12]. In II, which contains no $\mathrm{C}_{\text {cage }}$-phenyl substituents, the cluster copper atom $\mathrm{Cu}(3)$ is barely slipped across the carbaborane face $(0.248 \AA)$ and the exo-skeletal copper caps the $\mathrm{Cu}(3) \mathrm{B}(4) \mathrm{B}(8)$ triangle, thereby directly linking the two copper atoms, $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu} 2.576(1) \AA$. In I the cage phenyl groups clearly push the vertex copper back beyond the point where the bonding of $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ to a $\mathrm{CuB}_{2}$ face can be sustained and the $\mathrm{B}(4) \mathrm{B}(7) \mathrm{B}(8)$ triangle is capped; thus molecular overcrowding results in exo-skeletal isomerisation.

In compound II in solution at room temperature the two phosphorus nuclei in the molecule undergo rapid exchange. Although this is arrested below ca. 250 K , a second dynamic process, interpreted as involving the exo-skeletal $\left\{\mathrm{CuPPh}_{3}\right\}$ unit flipping between $\mathrm{Cu}(3)$ $\mathrm{B}(4) \mathrm{B}(8)$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(3) \mathrm{B}(7) \mathrm{B}(8)$ faces, still operates down to 203 K , affording this carbadicupraborane time-averaged $C_{\mathrm{s}}$ molecular symmetry. In compounds I there is also a dynamic process which results in equivalence of the two phosphine ligands (arrested at low temperatures for $\mathbf{I b}$ and Ic) but we cannot distinguish between interchange of $\left\{\mathrm{CuPR}_{3}\right\}$ units and simple interchange of phosphine ligands. However, the evidence of Hawthorne et al. for $\left\{\mathrm{CuPR}_{3}\right\}$ mobility in II is persuasive, and in as much as it would apply to I suggests an intermediate in which $\left\{\mathrm{CuPR}_{3}\right.$ ) units are simultaneously bound to $\mathrm{B}(4) \mathrm{B}(8) \mathrm{B}(9)$ and $\mathrm{B}(7) \mathrm{B}(8) \mathrm{B}(12)$ triangular faces. The possibility of isolating such a novel
bis(exo-skeletal metal) nido species [13] by the use of $\mathrm{C}_{\text {cage }}$ substituents that are even more sterically demanding than phenyl groups is currently under investigation [14].
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[^0]:    * For details of Part 7, see ref. 1.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{\ddagger}$ Compound Ia: To a slurry of $\mathrm{Tl}_{2}\left[7,8-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}-7,8\right.$-nido- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]$ ( 0.50 $\mathrm{g}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PCuBr}\right]_{4}(0.56 \mathrm{~g}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. After 20 h stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ the mixture was filtered through Celite and the volume of the filtrate reduced to ca. $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$. Addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) yielded a white precipitate which was isolated by filtration, washed with further $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and dried in vacuo. Recrystallisation from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{n}$-hexane afforded colourless crystals. Yield $60 \%$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; 60.0 \% \mathrm{C}$, $5.03 \% \mathrm{H}$. Found for $1 \mathrm{a} ; 58.7 \% \mathrm{C}, 5.26 \% \mathrm{H}$. NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 298\right.$ $\mathrm{K}):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} ; \delta 7.50-6.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 40 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{ppm}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\left({ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{\text {selective }}\right.$, order follows ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ resonances\}; $\delta 2.84(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99$ $(2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(1 \mathrm{H})$ and $0.95(1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}-\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} ; \delta-10.6(1 \mathrm{~B})$, -18.1 (2B), -19.1 (2B), -24.1 (2B), -34.9 (1B) and -36.3 (1B) ppm. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} ; \delta 6.2$ (br) ppm.
    Compounds $I b$ and Ic: These were prepared similarly. For Ib yield $58 \%$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{85} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} ; 61.8 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.8 \% \mathrm{H}$. Found for 1b; $60.2 \% \mathrm{C}, 8.92 \% \mathrm{H}$. NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} ; \delta 24.2$ (br) ppm. For Ic yield $61 \%$. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{61} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$; $65.9 \% \mathrm{C}, 6.22 \% \mathrm{H}$. Found for Ic; $63.3 \% \mathrm{C}, 6.12 \% \mathrm{H}$. NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right):{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} ; \delta-18.1$ (br) ppm.

[^2]:    $\mp \ddagger$ All measurements were carried out at $291 \pm 1 \mathrm{~K}$ using an EnrafNonius CAD4 diffractometer operating with graphite-monochromated Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ X-radiation ( $\lambda_{\text {bar }}=0.71069 \AA$ ).
    Compound Ia: $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \quad M=1021.20$, monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / c, a=11.348(7), b=20.252(10), c=$ $23.169(17) \AA, \beta=103.38(5)^{\circ}, V=5180.2(21) \AA^{3}$, from the leastsquares refinement of 25 centred reflections $\left(7<\theta<9^{\circ}\right), Z=4$, $D_{\text {calc }}=1.309 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \mu\left(\mathbf{M o ~ K ~} \alpha\right.$ ) $=10.21 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \quad F(000)=2096$. 6714 intensity data were collected for $1 \leq \theta \leq 23^{\circ}$ by $\omega-2 \theta$ scans ( $\omega$ scan width $0.8+0.34 \tan \theta$ ) over ca. 136 X-ray hours. The structure was solved by Patterson and Fourier methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares. Using 2202 data for which $F \geq 4.0 \sigma(F)$, empirically corrected for absorption, refinement converged at $R=0.1332, R_{w}=0.1443, S=1.207$ for a model with anisotropic Cu and P atoms, phenyl rings constrained to rigid planar hexagons and all H atoms set in idealised positions. We ascribe the high residuals primarily to poor crystal quality. Maximum residue in final $\Delta F$ synthesis $0.89 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$.
    Compound Ic: $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{61} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \cdot 0.42 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, M=1020.43$, triclinic, space group $P \overline{1}, a=14.246(6), b=15.102(6), c=15.692(11)$ $\AA, \alpha=116.10(6), \beta=104.43(5), \gamma=97.74(3)^{\circ}, V=2818(3) \AA^{3}$, from the least-squares refinement of 25 centred reflections $(10<\theta<$ $\left.12^{\circ}\right), Z=2, D_{\text {calc }}=1.202 \mathrm{gcm}^{-3}, \mu($ Mo K $\alpha)=8.46 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)$ $=1060$ ( $M, D_{\text {calc }}, \mu$ and $F(000)$ calculated assuming no solvate.) 10447 data were collected for $1 \leq \theta \leq 25^{\circ}$ by $\omega-2 \theta$ scans ( $\omega$ scan width as for Ia) over ca. 229 X-ray hours. The structure was solved by direct methods and developed by full-matrix leastsquares refinement and $\Delta F$ syntheses. Using 7131 data $[F \geq$ $2.0 \sigma(F)]$ empirically corrected for absorption, anisotropic thermal parameters for all non- H atoms, aromatic rings treated as regular hexagons and all H atoms set in idealised positions, refinement in two blocks converged at $R=0.0792, R_{w}=0.1105, S=1.200$. A molecule of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ of solvation ( $42 \%$ occupancy) cocrystallises with Ic and maximum residues in the final $\Delta F$ synthesis (ca. 1.10 $\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ ) are located near the Cl atoms.
    For both compounds tables of atomic coordinate, thermal parameters and bond lengths and angles have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

